

IDG Committee Reader Review Form

Committee Member: - Reader C

Applicant Name:

Application Title:

Instructions: Please assess each of the sub-criteria below by marking the appropriate box. Briefly explain the rationale for your response.

Part 1: Challenge—The aim and importance of the endeavour (50%)

Sub-criteria (No specific weighting assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Modest	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Originality, significance and expected contribution to knowledge					
Appropriateness of the theoretical approach or framework					
Appropriateness of the methodologies or approaches					
Quality of training and mentoring to be provided to students, emerging scholars and other highly qualified personnel, where appropriate					
Likelihood of influence and impact within and/or beyond the social sciences and humanities research community					
Briefly explain the rationale for your response:					

Part 2: Feasibility—The plan to achieve excellence (20%)

Sub-criteria (No specific weighting assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Modest	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Probability of meeting the objectives of the Insight program, the Insight Development Grants funding opportunity and, where appropriate, expected outcomes of a priority area					
Strategies and timelines for the design and conduct of the research and/or related activities					
Appropriateness of the requested budget, justification of proposed costs, and indications of other planned resources (time, human and financial)					
Quality of the knowledge mobilization plans, including for effective dissemination, exchange, and engagement within and/or, where appropriate, beyond, the research community					
Briefly explain the rationale for your responses:					

Part 3: Capability—The expertise to succeed (30%)

IDG Committee Reader Review Form

Sub-criteria (No specific weight assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Modest	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality, quantity and significance of past research activity and published outputs relative to the stage of the applicant's career					
Evidence of contributions through, for example, publications (such as commissioned reports, etc.); professional practice; contributions to public discourses, public policies, products and services, and the development of talent					
Potential to make future contributions					
Briefly explain the rationale for your responses:					

Scores Please refer to the descriptors in the manual. (A score of 3 is required in each category for an application to be eligible for funding.)	Challenge 0-6 50%	Feasibility 0-6 20%	Capability 0-6 30%